

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/754,812		<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHULTE ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Gregory J. Strimbu		<b>Art Unit</b> 3634	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Gregory J. Strimbu.

(2) Matthew Jorge.

**Date of Interview:** 12 March 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*31, 38, 42, 43, 45, 48, 50, 54, 55-63 and 66*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Suggested changes to more accurately and patentably define the applicant's invention and to overcome 35 USC 112 informalities. See the examiner's amendment for the changes made*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)